UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,942	08/04/2005	Ian D. Manger	20174-008610US	4959
	7590 03/16/201 AND TOWNSEND AN		EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			HYUN, PAUL SANG HWA	
	EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/517,942	MANGER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		PAUL S. HYUN	1797				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHI0 - Extended afte - If No - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 N	lovember 2009					
·		s action is non-final.					
3)	<i>,</i> —		secution as to the	e merits is			
ت (۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	en pario quayro, 1000 o.e. 11, 10	70 0.0. 210.				
_							
4)[Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
5 _	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or election requirement					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	<i>w</i> >						
Attachmei	• •	4) T Intonion Comme	(DTO 442)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

The Remarks filed by Applicant on November 17, 2009 has been acknowledged.

Claims 1-34 are pending wherein claims 14-34 remain withdrawn pursuant to a restriction requirement. In summary, claims 1-13 are pending for examination on the merits.

The amended Abstract filed by Applicant has been acknowledged. Consequently, the objection to the Abstract cited in the previous Office action has been withdrawn.

Despite Applicant's argument with respect to the rejection, the rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims **1-13** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Van Dam et al. (US 2003/0008411 A1).

Van Dam et al. disclose a microfluidic device for synthesizing a library of compounds (see claim 15). The device comprises a solid substrate layer and an elastomeric layer attached to the solid substrate wherein the surface of the solid substrate is immobilized with ligands for binding analytes of interest. The surfaces of both layers can comprise grooves/wells to define a plurality of first flow channels intersecting a plurality of second flow channels such that the device comprises a plurality of inlets and outlets (see claim 24, [0048] and Fig. 12A). The device further comprises a plurality of control valves associated with each flow channel. The control

Art Unit: 1797

valves are chambers/channels formed in the elastomeric layer. Upon application of an actuation force within the control valves (e.g. positive pressure), the elastic surface defining each control valve deflects into the flow channel and blocks fluid flow through the flow channel. The control valves can also be manipulated to function as a pump for facilitating the movement of fluids through the flow channels (see [0068] and [0069]). The control valves formed at the terminus of the flow channels enable the formation of looped channels that redirect flow into an adjacent channel in a serpentine manner (see [0190]).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's argument with respect to claims 1-13 has been fully considered but it is not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claims are patentable over the disclosure of Van Dam et al. because Van Dam et al. do not disclose the claimed "plurality of looped flow channels". Applicant's argument is based on the fact that Van Dam et al. do not disclose using its microfluidic device for looped flow applications. This argument is not persuasive because the distinction between the claimed invention and the device disclosed by Van Dam et al. pointed out by Applicant is based on the intended use of the two devices rather than a structural distinction. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In this instance, the limitation "looped flow channels that each include

Page 4

segments of the flow channels between the intersecting volumes to define a closed loop" alone does not structurally distinguish the claimed invention from the channels disclosed by Van Dam et al. According to the claim language, the "looped flow channels" are merely segments of the flow channels between the flow channel intersections, which are present in the device disclosed by Van Dam et al. The limitations "looped flow channels" and "closed loop" merely indicate the path of a liquid flowing through the flow channels during the intended use of the claimed invention. The limitations do not confer additional structural features to the claimed invention. For the foregoing reason, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,942 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL S. HYUN whose telephone number is (571)272-8559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Paul S Hyun/ Examiner, Art Unit 1797 /Jill Warden/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797